

κ -DEFORMED STATISTICS AND CLASSICAL FOURMOMENTUM ADDITION LAW¹

Marcin Daszkiewicz, Jerzy Lukierski, Mariusz Woronowicz

Institute of Theoretical Physics
University of Wrocław pl. Maxa Born'a 9, 50-206 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: marcin,lukier,woronow@ift.uni.wroc.pl

Abstract

We consider κ -deformed relativistic symmetries described algebraically by modified Majid-Ruegg bicrossproduct basis and investigate the quantization of field oscillators for the κ -deformed free scalar fields on κ -Minkowski space. By modification of standard multiplication rule, we postulate the κ -deformed algebra of bosonic creation and annihilation operators. Our algebra permits to define the n -particle states with classical addition law for the fourmomenta in a way which is not in contradiction with the nonsymmetric quantum fourmomentum coproduct. We introduce κ -deformed Fock space generated by our κ -deformed oscillators which satisfy the standard algebraic relations with modified κ -multiplication rule. We show that such a κ -deformed bosonic Fock space is endowed with the conventional bosonic symmetry properties. Finally we discuss the role of κ -deformed algebra of oscillators in field-theoretic noncommutative framework.

¹Supported by KBN grant 1P03B01828.

1 Introduction.

The quantum deformed Poincare symmetries with mass-like deformations - so-called κ -deformations [1]-[5] - were recently studied as a quantum gravity modifications of the postulates of classical relativistic symmetries². If we assume that the κ -deformed symmetries are described by the Hopf algebra scheme there are however problems related mostly with the properties of coalgebraic sector. In particular, two problems in the framework of κ -deformations need to be solved:

i) In all bases the four-momentum coproduct is not symmetric. If we postulate that such a coproduct describes the total fourmomentum of multiparticle states, the exchange of particles which does not modify the total fourmomentum requires new κ -statistics. In particular, it should be asked whether one can introduce consistently the κ -bosonic multiparticle states with classical symmetric addition law for the four-momenta.

ii) Recently, the problem of quantization of noncommutative fields was studied in the case of canonical noncommutative Minkowski space coordinates satisfying the relation $[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}$ ($\theta_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}$; see e.g. [9]-[12]). In particular it has been shown [10]-[12] that two modifications - due to noncommutativity of space-time and due to the $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ -statistics - can cancel each other³. It is interesting to determine the interplay of the star multiplication of space-time fields and deformed statistics in the case of κ -deformed quantum fields.

In this paper we shall resolve the first problem, and provide the tools for solving the second problem - the κ -deformed algebra of field oscillators and consistent braided relations for two copies of κ -Minkowski space-time coordinates.

We shall show that solving the fourmomentum addition problem leads to new κ -quantization rules for the creation and annihilation operators, which imply that the change of order of oscillators produces the modification of their threemomentum dependence. Such a novel feature does not occur in the case of canonical $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ -deformation, however if $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ depends on x_μ in linear way (i.e. in momentum space $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ depends linearly on fourmomentum derivative), there is generated a shift in the momentum dependence of exchanged field oscillators.

In our discussion we shall use the quantum κ -deformed Poincare symmetries formulated in modified bicrossproduct basis with classical Lorentz subalgebra, and the κ -deformed mass-shell invariant under the change $P_0 \rightarrow -P_0$ [14]. Such a choice is obtained if in standard bicross-product basis [4], [5] we change $P_i \rightarrow e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} P_i$, i.e. if we keep the fourmomenta the same as in the standard "historical" basis [1]-[3]. Then our κ -deformed Poincaré algebra with generators $M_{\mu\nu} = (M_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}M_{jk}, N_i = M_{i0})$ and $P_\mu = (P_i, P_0)$ satisfy the following Hopf algebra relations:

a) algebraic sector

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\lambda\sigma}] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\lambda} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}M_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\lambda}M_{\nu\sigma}) , \quad (1)$$

²In particular, many results of κ -deformed symmetry framework have been adopted by the Doubly Special Relativity formalism (see e.g. [6]-[8]).

³Such a cancellation implies that the Green functions and subsequently S-matrix remain $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ -independent. In [11], [12] there was presented also the general case with two independent θ -parameters describing respectively the formula for star product and for the deformed oscillator algebra. The case leading to the cancellation is justified [10] by the covariance under the twisted Poincare group.

$$[M_i, P_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}P_k, \quad (2)$$

$$[N_i, P_j] = i\delta_{ij}e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2P_0}{\kappa}} \right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} e^{-\frac{P_0}{\kappa}} \vec{P}^2 \right] - \frac{i}{2\kappa} e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} P_i P_j, \quad (3)$$

$$[M_i, P_0] = 0, \quad [N_i, P_0] = ie^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} P_i, \quad (4)$$

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0, \quad (5)$$

b) coalgebra sector

$$\Delta(M_i) = M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i, \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta(N_i) = N_i \otimes 1 + e^{-\frac{P_0}{\kappa}} \otimes N_i + \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ijk} e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} P_j \otimes M_k, \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta(P_0) = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta(P_i) = P_i \otimes e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes P_i, \quad (9)$$

c) antipodes

$$S(M_i) = -M_i, \quad S(N_i) = -e^{\frac{P_0}{\kappa}} N_i + \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ijk} e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} P_j M_k, \quad (10)$$

$$S(P_i) = -P_i, \quad S(P_0) = -P_0. \quad (11)$$

The κ -Poincare algebra has two κ -deformed Casimirs describing mass and spin. The deformation of bilinear mass Casimir looks as follows⁴

$$C_2^\kappa(P_\mu) = C_2^\kappa(\vec{P}^2, P_0) = \left(2\kappa \sinh \left(\frac{P_0}{2\kappa} \right) \right)^2 - \vec{P}^2. \quad (12)$$

Because in any basis $C_2^\kappa(P_\mu) = C_2^\kappa(S(P_\mu))$ we see that for the choice (12) any solution with $P_0 > 0$ has its "antiparticle counterpart" with $P_0 \rightarrow -P_0$.

The κ -deformed Minkowski space is defined as the translation sector of dual κ -Poincaré group [4], [15] by the following relations

$$[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{x}_i, \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0. \quad (13)$$

In this paper we propose how to introduce the κ -statistics defining κ -bosons⁵ and provide a consistent description of quantum bosonic fields defined on κ -deformed Minkowski space (13). In Sect. 2 we shall consider the noncommutative free scalar fields with field quanta described by κ -deformed algebra of creation and annihilation operators, with deformation encoded in deformed multiplication rule. We shall consider the noncommutative Fourier transform using the following κ -deformation of plane waves [16], [14]

$$:e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}: = e^{\frac{i}{2} p_0 \hat{x}^0} e^{ip_i \hat{x}^i} e^{\frac{i}{2} p_0 \hat{x}^0} = e^{i\tilde{p}_i \hat{x}^i} e^{ip_0 \hat{x}^0}, \quad (14)$$

⁴This Casimir is identical with the one in so-called "standard basis" [1], with κ -deformed boosts algebra.

⁵We shall discuss only bosonic case; the κ -fermions can be introduced by adding obvious sign factors.

where $\tilde{p}_i \equiv \tilde{p}_i(\vec{p}, p_0) = e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} p_i$.

From (14) follows the simple Hermitean conjugation property

$$\left(:e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}: \right)^\dagger = \left(e^{i\tilde{p}_i \hat{x}^i} e^{ip_0 \hat{x}^0} \right)^\dagger = e^{-ip_0 \hat{x}^0} e^{-i\tilde{p}_i \hat{x}^i} = :e^{-ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}:, \quad (15)$$

with $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_i = \tilde{p}_i(-p_0, \vec{p}) = e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} p_i$. We also observe (see (11)) that $S(\tilde{p}_i) = -\tilde{\tilde{p}}_i$.

Using the expansion of noncommutative free field into the κ -deformed plane waves (14) we introduce the creation/annihilation operators satisfying the κ -deformed field oscillators algebra. The novel feature of our construction is the new multiplication rule of creation/annihilation operators which requires putting them off-shell. We shall introduce the algebraic properties of these operators in such a way that the difficulty with the interpretation of nonsymmetric quantum addition law for the fourmomenta (see (8), (9)) will be removed. The κ -deformation of the oscillator algebra described by a new multiplication rule ($a \cdot b \rightarrow a \circ b$), will be also used in Sect. 3 for the construction of κ -deformed Fock space. Surprisingly, the Fock space constructed within the κ -deformed Hopf algebraic scheme will have similar structure as the classical one with on-shell fourmomenta, and with symmetric multiparticle states and classical fourmomentum addition law. Finally in Sect. 4 we shall propose a braided structure of tensor product of two κ -Minkowski spaces as a first step in the construction of quantized κ -deformed local field theory.

It should be pointed out that in this paper we formulated the new κ -quantization rules for the creation and annihilation operators (modulo possibly some numerical normalization factors). The derivation of the respective noncommutative structure of quantum free fields in κ -Minkowski space is presented in our next publication [13]. In particular, because the κ -deformed K-G operator in commuting fourmomentum space is not a polynomial, the standard canonical quantization scheme with E.T. commutators has to be essentially modified (see [13]), but if we use suitably chosen kappa-multiplication of fields, the field quantization rules can be put back into canonical form.

2 The quantization of κ -deformed free K-G fields.

We describe the κ -deformed scalar free field on noncommutative Minkowski space (13) by decomposition into field quanta creation and annihilation operators, with the use of κ -deformed Fourier transform (see (14))

$$\phi(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^4p A(p_0, \vec{p}) \delta(C_2^\kappa(\vec{p}^2, p_0) - M^2) :e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}:. \quad (16)$$

It should be stressed that the κ -deformed bicovariant calculus implies (see [17], [18]), that the free fields satisfying noncommutative K-G equation have the κ -deformed mass-shell modified as follows

$$\delta(C_2^\kappa - M^2) \rightarrow \delta \left[C_2^\kappa \left(1 - \frac{C_2^\kappa}{4\kappa^2} \right) - M^2 \right]. \quad (17)$$

The corresponding free field can be described by a superposition of two fields of type (16) - one physical and the second one describing ghosts [19], [20].

The mass-shell condition in formula (16) can be solved and leads to the following κ -deformed energy-momentum dispersion relation (see e.g. [5])

$$p_0^\pm = \pm \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2) \quad , \quad \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2) = 2\kappa \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + M^2}}{2\kappa} \right) . \quad (18)$$

The free field (16) can be decomposed into positive and negative frequency parts

$$\phi(\hat{x}) = \phi_+(\hat{x}) + \phi_-(\hat{x}) . \quad (19)$$

The negative frequency part ($p_0^- = -\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)$) provides for the complex field $\phi(\hat{x})$ the annihilation operators for the antiparticles.

Using the relation

$$\delta \left(C_2^\kappa(\vec{p}^2, p_0) - M^2 \right) = \frac{1}{\Omega_+(\vec{p}^2)} \delta(p_0 - p_0^+) + \frac{1}{\Omega_-(\vec{p}^2)} \delta(p_0 - p_0^-) , \quad (20)$$

where

$$\Omega_\pm(\vec{p}^2) = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} C_2^\kappa(\vec{p}^2, p_0) \right|_{p_0=p_0^\pm} = 2\kappa \sinh \left(\frac{\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)}{\kappa} \right) \equiv \Omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2) , \quad (21)$$

we obtain

$$\phi_\pm(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{\Omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)} A(\pm\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \pm\vec{p}) :e^{\pm i p_\mu \hat{x}^\mu} : \Big|_{p_0=\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)} . \quad (22)$$

In order to obtain the κ -deformed real scalar field (i.e. we identify the particles and antiparticles)

$$(\phi_\pm(\hat{x}))^\dagger = \phi_\mp(\hat{x}) , \quad (23)$$

one should assume that⁶

$$(A(\pm\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \pm\vec{p}))^\dagger = A(\mp\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \mp\vec{p}) . \quad (24)$$

One can introduce the on-shell creation and annihilation operators by means of the relations

$$a_\kappa(\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \vec{p}) = C(\vec{p}^2) A(\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \vec{p}) \quad , \quad a_\kappa^\dagger(\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), \vec{p}) = C(\vec{p}^2) A(-\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2), -\vec{p}) , \quad (25)$$

where as for the normalization factor we choose $C(\vec{p}^2) = \Omega_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}(\vec{p}^2)$.

The κ -statistics will be introduced in a way consistent with the addition law (9) of fourmomenta. It was already pointed out (see e.g. [21], Sect. 5), that the standard (anti)commutativity of the operators (25) is not consistent with the quantum composition law for the fourmomenta

$$\vec{p}_{1+2} = \vec{p}_1 e^{\frac{p_{02}}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{p_{01}}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}_2 \neq \vec{p}_{2+1} = \vec{p}_2 e^{\frac{p_{01}}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{p_{02}}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}_1 . \quad (26)$$

⁶The simplicity of the relation (24) follows from the relations (11) and (15); in arbitrary fourmomentum basis we have

$$(A(p_0^+, \vec{p}))^\dagger = A(S(p_0^+), S(\vec{p})) .$$

We shall use the algebraic relations expressing the property that the operators (25) create/annihilate quanta with fourmomentum p_μ

$$P_\mu \triangleright a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p}) = p_\mu a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p}) \quad , \quad P_\mu \triangleright a_\kappa^\dagger(p_0, \vec{p}) = -p_\mu a_\kappa^\dagger(p_0, \vec{p}) \quad , \quad (27)$$

as well as⁷

$$P_\mu \triangleright (a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p}) a_\kappa(q_0, \vec{q})) = (\Delta^{(1)}(P_\mu) \triangleright a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p})) (\Delta^{(2)}(P_\mu) \triangleright a_\kappa(q_0, \vec{q})) \quad , \quad (28)$$

where $\Delta(P_\mu) \equiv \Delta^{(1)}(P_\mu) \otimes \Delta^{(2)}(P_\mu)$ is a shorthand notation of coproducts (8), (9).

In order to present our construction we assume that the relations (27), (28) can be extended also for p_0 not necessarily equal to $\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})$. In such a way we introduce the off-shell field oscillators, which will be useful for our construction.

The general κ -deformed creation operators algebra defining the κ -statistics can be written as follows⁸

$$\begin{aligned} F_\kappa(p, q) a_\kappa(f_0(p, q), \vec{f}(p, q)) a_\kappa(g_0(p, q), \vec{g}(p, q)) = \\ = G_\kappa(q, p) a_\kappa(h_0(p, q), \vec{h}(p, q)) a_\kappa(k_0(p, q), \vec{k}(p, q)) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the functions F_κ and G_κ are suitably restricted by multioscillator algebraic relations. Further we should assume that $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} F_\kappa(p, q) = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} G_\kappa(p, q) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} f_0 = p_0 \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} g_0 = q_0 \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} h_0 = q_0 \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} k_0 = p_0 \quad , \\ \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} f_i = p_i \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} g_i = q_i \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} h_i = q_i \quad , \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} k_i = p_i \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The consistent action of the fourmomentum operator on both sides of the eq. (29), from (27), (28), leads to the following relations

$$f_0 + g_0 = h_0 + k_0 \quad , \quad \vec{f} e^{\frac{g_0}{2\kappa}} + \vec{g} e^{-\frac{f_0}{2\kappa}} = \vec{h} e^{\frac{k_0}{2\kappa}} + \vec{k} e^{-\frac{h_0}{2\kappa}} \quad . \quad (31)$$

We shall further assume that the relations (31) describe classical addition of fourmomenta, what can be achieved if we choose

$$f_0 = p_0 \quad , \quad g_0 = q_0 \quad , \quad h_0 = q_0 \quad , \quad k_0 = p_0 \quad , \quad (32)$$

$$f_i = p_i e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \quad , \quad g_i = q_i e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \quad , \quad h_i = q_i e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \quad , \quad k_i = p_i e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \quad . \quad (33)$$

The relation (29) takes the form⁹

$$a_\kappa \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) = a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) a_\kappa \left(p_0, e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) \quad , \quad (34)$$

where we should put $p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)$ and $q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}^2)$. We see from (34) that the fourvectors $(p_0, e^{\pm \frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p})$ and $(q_0, e^{\pm \frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q})$ are off-shell, but this effect occurs only if we consider the

⁷Analogous deformed Leibnitz rule relations can be written for $a^\dagger a^\dagger$, $aa^\dagger$ and $a^\dagger a$ operator products.

⁸We consider the most general relation in a given fourmomentum frame with fixed coproducts see ((8), (9)), i.e. we are not allowed to simplify (29) by nonlinear transformations of the arguments of a_κ .

⁹Further we shall consider the case with $F_\kappa(p, q) = 1$ and $G_\kappa(q, p) = 1$.

product of oscillators. It will appear however that due to such a construction one can define the κ -deformed 2-particle states with Abelian fourmomentum addition law $(\vec{p} + \vec{q}, \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) + \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}))$ for two on-shell fourmomenta $(\vec{p}, \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}))$, $(\vec{q}, \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}))$.

It should be noted that if we change the order of two creation operators in (34), their threemomenta dependence is modified in a way depending on the energy of second partner. We claim that this "knowledge" of one particle about the energies of other particles is the geometric effect induced by the noncanonical (Lie-algebraic) noncommutativity structure of κ -Minkowski space-time.

Using the conjugation property (24) and the definitions (25) one gets from (34) the relation for the annihilation operators

$$a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa^\dagger \left(q_0, e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) = a_\kappa^\dagger \left(q_0, e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) . \quad (35)$$

The remaining algebraic relation, which is consistent with the Hermitean conjugation (24) and Abelian addition law for fourmomenta looks as follows (we recall that $p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)$, $q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}^2)$)

$$a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) - a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) . \quad (36)$$

The κ -deformed oscillator algebra (34-36) can be rewritten in known classical form if we define the following κ -multiplication of the oscillators $a_\kappa(p)$

$$a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) := a_\kappa \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) , \quad (37)$$

and when, in consistency with the conjugation relation $a_\kappa^\dagger(p) = a_\kappa(-p)$ (see (24), (25)), we introduce

$$a_\kappa^\dagger(p) \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(q) = a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa^\dagger \left(q_0, e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) , \quad (38)$$

$$a_\kappa^\dagger(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) = a_\kappa^\dagger \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) , \quad (39)$$

$$a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(q) = a_\kappa \left(p_0, e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa^\dagger \left(q_0, e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) . \quad (40)$$

We see that in place of the relations (34)-(36) we obtain the standard algebra of creation and annihilation operators $[A, B]_\circ := A \circ B - B \circ A$

$$[a_\kappa(p), a_\kappa(q)]_\circ = [a_\kappa^\dagger(p), a_\kappa^\dagger(q)]_\circ = 0 , \quad [a_\kappa^\dagger(p), a_\kappa(q)]_\circ = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) . \quad (41)$$

In order to extend the multiplication (37) to the product of any oscillators we define the following triple κ -product

$$(a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q)) \circ a_\kappa(r) = a_\kappa \left(p_0, e^{-\frac{(q_0+r_0)}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \right) a_\kappa \left(q_0, e^{\frac{(p_0-r_0)}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \right) a_\kappa \left(r_0, e^{\frac{(p_0+q_0)}{2\kappa}} \vec{r} \right) . \quad (42)$$

It is easy to check that

$$(a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q)) \circ a_\kappa(r) = a_\kappa(p) \circ (a_\kappa(q) \circ a_\kappa(r)) , \quad (43)$$

i.e. the κ -multiplication of oscillators is associative.

The associative κ -deformed product of n oscillators looks as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_\kappa(p^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(k)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(n)}) &= a_\kappa \left(p_0^{(1)}, \exp \left(- \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{p}^{(1)} \right) \dots \\ &\dots a_\kappa \left(p_0^{(k)}, \exp \left(- \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{p}^{(k)} \right) \dots a_\kappa \left(p_0^{(n)}, \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{p}^{(n)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Finally, we extend the formula (44) to arbitrary normally ordered product of creation and annihilation operators

$$\begin{aligned} a_\kappa^\dagger(k^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(k^{(m)}) \circ a_\kappa(p^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(n)}) &= \\ a_\kappa^\dagger \left(k_0^{(1)}, \exp \left(\sum_{i=2}^m \frac{k_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{k}^{(1)} \right) \dots a_\kappa^\dagger \left(k_0^{(m)}, \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{k_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{k}^{(m)} \right) \\ a_\kappa \left(p_0^{(1)}, \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{p}^{(1)} \right) \dots a_\kappa \left(p_0^{(n)}, \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_0^{(i)}}{2\kappa} \right) \vec{p}^{(n)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

3 κ -deformed Fock space for κ -bosons.

Let us introduce the normalized vacuum state in standard way

$$a_\kappa^\dagger(p_0, \vec{p})|0\rangle = 0 \quad , \quad \langle 0|0\rangle = 1, \quad (46)$$

where $p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}^2)$. The one-particle state is defined as follows

$$|\vec{p}\rangle = a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p})|0\rangle, \quad (47)$$

where from (27) we get

$$P_\mu |\vec{p}\rangle = p_\mu |\vec{p}\rangle. \quad (48)$$

If we define two-particle states in the following way

$$|\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle = a_\kappa(p_0, \vec{p}) \circ a_\kappa(q_0, \vec{q})|0\rangle, \quad (49)$$

by using (28) and (37) we get

$$P_\mu |\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle = (p_\mu + q_\mu) |\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle, \quad (50)$$

where we see from (49) that fourmomenta (p_μ, q_μ) are on-shell. From (41) follows the classical bosonic symmetry

$$|\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle = |\vec{q}, \vec{p}\rangle. \quad (51)$$

Due to the associativity property of κ -multiplication (43) one can define the n -particle state by the κ -deformed product of n oscillators. We obtain

$$|\vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(k)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)}\rangle = a_\kappa(p^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(k)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(n)})|0\rangle. \quad (52)$$

Extending by iteration the coassociative coproduct (8) and (9) to the tensor product of n-th order we can calculate the total momentum of the state (52), which appears to be given by classical formula

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu |\vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(k)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)}\rangle &= [\Delta^{(n)}(P_\mu) \triangleright (a_\kappa(p^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p^{(n)}))] |0\rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_\mu^{(i)} |\vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(k)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where again the fourmomenta $p_\mu^{(i)}$ are on-shell. We get immediately from (41) also the classical bosonic symmetry property

$$|\vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(i)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(j)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)}\rangle = |\vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(j)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(i)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)}\rangle. \quad (54)$$

In order to complete the structure of κ -deformed Fock space we should define dual vectors and scalar product. We define the dual space in analogy to the relations (52)

$$\langle \vec{k}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{k}^{(n)} | = \langle 0 | a_\kappa^\dagger(k_0^{(1)}, \vec{k}^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(k_0^{(n)}, \vec{k}^{(n)}) . \quad (55)$$

We define κ -deformed scalar product of the basic vectors (52) and (55) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{k}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{k}^{(m)} | \vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)} \rangle_\kappa &:= \langle \vec{k}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{k}^{(m)} | \circ | \vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle 0 | a_\kappa^\dagger(k_0^{(1)}, \vec{k}^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(k_0^{(m)}, \vec{k}^{(m)}) \circ a_\kappa(p_0^{(1)}, \vec{p}^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ a_\kappa(p_0^{(n)}, \vec{p}^{(n)}) | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

and, using (41), it is easy to show that

$$\langle \vec{k}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{k}^{(m)} | \vec{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{p}^{(n)} \rangle_\kappa = \delta_{nm} \sum_{\text{perm}(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}^{(1)} - \vec{k}^{(i_1)}) \dots \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}^{(n)} - \vec{k}^{(i_n)}) .$$

We see therefore that the fourmomentum eigenvalues and scalar products in κ -deformed Fock space are identical with the ones in well-known undeformed bosonic free field theory.

We conclude that our unconventional form of κ -deformed oscillator algebra (see (37)-(41)) is such that applying Hopf-algebraic rules one obtains the states with standard symmetry properties.

It appears that in our construction the notion of κ -multiplication is very useful. It describes the κ -deformation of the oscillators algebra as well as the operator-valued metric defining scalar product in κ -Fock space.

4 Towards quantized κ -deformed field theory.

Let us introduce the Weyl map

$$:e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}: \leftrightarrow e^{ip_\mu x^\mu}. \quad (57)$$

The κ -star product, which is homomorphic to the multiplication of two noncommutative plane waves at the same point of κ -deformed Minkowski space [16], [14], looks as follows

$$:e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}: \cdot :e^{iq_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}: = :e^{i(p_0+q_0)\hat{x}^0 + i\Delta_i(\vec{p}, \vec{q})\hat{x}^i}: \leftrightarrow e^{ip_\mu x^\mu} \star e^{iq_\mu x^\mu} = e^{i(p_0+q_0)x^0 + i\Delta_i(\vec{p}, \vec{q})x^i}, \quad (58)$$

where (see (9))

$$\Delta_i(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = p_i e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} q_i , \quad (59)$$

i.e. we get the Weyl map describing star product, which via multiplication reproduces the quantum addition law (26).

We shall extend (58) for two different copies of κ -Minkowski space (13) in the following way

$$:e^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}:::e^{iq_\mu \hat{y}^\mu}:: \leftrightarrow e^{ip_\mu x^\mu} \star e^{iq_\mu y^\mu} = e^{i(p_0 x^0 + q_0 y^0) + (p_i e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} x^i + q_i e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} y^i)} . \quad (60)$$

From (60) follows by differentiation the set of braid relations

$$x_0 \star y_0 = x_0 y_0 , \quad y_0 \star x_0 = y_0 x_0 \Rightarrow [x_0, y_0]_\star = 0 , \quad (61)$$

$$x_i \star y_j = x_i y_j , \quad y_j \star x_i = y_j x_i \Rightarrow [x_i, y_j]_\star = 0 , \quad (62)$$

$$x_0 \star y_i = \frac{i}{2\kappa} y_i + y_i x_0 , \quad y_i \star x_0 = -\frac{i}{2\kappa} y_i + y_i x_0 \Rightarrow [x_0, y_i]_\star = \frac{i}{\kappa} y_i , \quad (63)$$

$$x_i \star y_0 = -\frac{i}{2\kappa} x_i + x_i y_0 , \quad y_0 \star x_i = \frac{i}{2\kappa} x_i + x_i y_0 \Rightarrow [y_0, x_i]_\star = \frac{i}{\kappa} x_i . \quad (64)$$

It is easy to see that if we put $x_\mu \equiv y_\mu$ we reproduce from (61)-(64) single κ -deformed Minkowski space algebra what we consider as the basic consistency condition.

The relations (61)-(64) describe a natural choice of braid relations between two non-commutative Minkowski algebras with generators $\hat{x}_\mu$ and $\hat{y}_\mu$, which is proposed for the description of the product of two fields (16) at different "algebraic space-time points" $\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{y}_\mu$. In order to proceed with the construction of noncommutative quantum field theory one should consider suitable generalization of the star product and consistent braided structures between the n copies of noncommutative κ -Minkowski spaces. One can conjecture that the proper choice of multilocal star product might be required (see e.g. [22]) if we wish to derive κ -deformed Feymann diagrams with the standard Abelian fourmomentum conservation law at the interaction vertices¹⁰.

The noncommutativity of the field operator has two sources - noncommutativity of space-time and deformed quantization of the oscillator algebra described in Sect. 2.

One can introduce operator-valued homomorphic Weyl map $\phi(\hat{x}) \leftrightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x)$ with the quantum fields $\hat{\varphi}(x)$ defined on classical Minkowski space and depending on the quantized κ -oscillators. For bilocal products one gets the following Weyl map

$$\phi(\hat{x})\phi(\hat{y}) \leftrightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x) \star \hat{\varphi}(y) , \quad (65)$$

where the operator-valued star product in (65) is given by (60). Further if we wish to calculate the commutator $[\hat{\varphi}(x), \hat{\varphi}(y)]_\star$ the relations (37)-(40) should be used.

The application of the star product techniques and the κ -deformed product (see e.g. (37)-(40)) to the description of quantized κ -deformed field theory is presented in other publication [13]. We recall that the κ -deformation (1)-(11) can not be described by twisting of classical Poincare symmetries, and the methods implying in the case of canonical space-time noncommutativity ($\theta_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}$) the equality of twisted and untwisted correlation functions (see e.g. [10]-[12], [24]) can not be applied.

¹⁰We recall that former efforts to introduce Feymann diagrams in κ -deformed field theory (for $D = 4$ $\lambda\phi^4$ model see [19], for $D = 3$ $\lambda\phi^3$ model see [23]) lead to the dissipation of the threemomenta in the process of creation and subsequent annihilation of virtual particles forming the self-energy loop.

5 Final remarks.

The main result of this paper was to propose new κ -dependent quantization rules (see (37)-(40)) for the field oscillators. We show subsequently that these rules permit to introduce the Abelian addition law of fourmomenta for κ -deformed n-particle states, which is consistent with coalgebra structure of κ -deformed Poincaré Hopf algebra. The difficulty with quantum non-Abelian addition law of momenta, which we claim it is solved in this paper, was often exposed as argument against the physical applications of Hopf-algebraic structure of deformed symmetries.

Unconventional feature of our proposal is use of the off-shell field oscillators in the products (37)-(40). If we try to consider the relations (37)-(40) with on-shell oscillators, i.e. with the modification of energy components in a way implying the validity of the κ -deformed mass-shell condition, we shall face two problems:

- i) In the Abelian addition law of fourmomenta (see (53)) the fourmomenta $p_\mu^{(i)}$ will not satisfy the mass-shell condition,
- ii) The sum of the on-shell energies of multiparticle states will be not invariant under the exchange of particles.

We add here that this paper provides only basis for further developments, which should provide the consistent and physically plausible theory of interacting κ -deformed local quantum fields. For that purpose one can show that our κ -deformed oscillator algebra leads to new consistent quantization rules for free κ -deformed quantum fields [13]. One of the problems which should be considered is the status of microcausality condition in κ -deformed field theory. Other important problem is the proper description of the perturbative expansion for the interacting κ -deformed local fields. The work in these directions is in progress.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Piotr Kosinski for his interest in the paper and valuable remarks.

References

- [1] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, Phys. Lett. B264, 331 (1991)
- [2] S. Giller, P. Kosinski, M. Majewski, P. Maslanka and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B286, 57 (1992)
- [3] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B293, 344 (1992)
- [4] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B329, 189 (1994)
- [5] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W.J. Zakrzewski, Ann. Phys. 243, 90 (1995)
- [6] G. Amelino-Camelia, Phys. Lett. B510, 255 (2001)
- [7] J. Kowalski-Glikman, S. Nowak, Phys. Lett. B539, 126 (2002)

- [8] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 18, 7 (2003)
- [9] A. Tureanu, *Phys. Lett. B* 638, 296 (2006)
- [10] A.P. Balachandran, T.R. Govindarayan, G. Mangano, A. Pinzul, B.A. Quereshi, S. Vaidya, *Phys. Rev. D* 75 (2007) 045009
- [11] G. Fiore, J. Wess, "On "full" Twisted Poincare Symmetry and QFT on Moyal-Weyl spaces", *hep-th/0701078*
- [12] Y. Abe, "Noncommutative Quantization of Noncommutative Field Theory", *hep-th/0606183*
- [13] M. Daszkiewicz, J. Lukierski, M. Woronowicz, "Towards Quantum Noncommutative κ -deformed Field Theory", *arXiv:0708.1561 [hep-th]*
- [14] A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia and F. d'Andrea, "Hopf-algebra description of noncommutative space-time symmetries", *hep-th/0306013*; A. Agostini, *hep-th/0312305* (PhD Thesis)
- [15] S. Zakrzewski, *J. Phys. A* 27, 2075 (1993)
- [16] A. Agostini, F. Lizzi, A. Zampini, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 17, 2105 (2002)
- [17] S. Giller, C. Gonera, P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* 27, 2171 (1996)
- [18] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, P. Maslanka, A. Sitarz, *Czech. J. Phys.* 48, 1407 (1998)
- [19] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, P. Maslanka, *Phys. Rev. D* 62, 025004 (2000)
- [20] M. Daszkiewicz, K. Imilkowska, J. Kowalski-Glikman, S. Nowak, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 20 4925 (2005)
- [21] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, P. Maslanka, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* 102, 161 (2001)
- [22] Y. Sasai, N. Sasakura, "Braided quantum field theories and their symmetries", *arXiv: 0704.0822 [hep-th]*
- [23] S. Imai, N. Sasakura, *JHEP* 0009, 032 (2000)
- [24] E. Joung, J. Mourad, "QFT with Twisted Poincare invariance and the Moyal Product", *hep-th/0703245*